arXiv:1603.06178v1 [math.NT] 20 Mar 2016

LOWER BOUNDS ON THE TWO-SIDED INHOMOGENEOUS APPROXIMATION CONSTANT

Christopher G. Pinner

May 1998, Revised January 2000

Abstract. For an irrational real number α and real number γ one defines the two-sided approximation constant

$$M(\alpha,\gamma) := \liminf_{|n|\to\infty} |n|||n\alpha-\gamma||.$$

We are interested here in the case of worst inhomogeneous approximation for α

$$\rho(\alpha) := \sup_{\gamma\notin\mathbf{Z}+\alpha\mathbf{Z}} M(\alpha,\gamma),$$

and in how $\rho(\alpha)$ is affected by the liminf of the partial quotients in the nearest integer continued fraction expansion of α;

$$\alpha = a_0 + \cfrac{\varepsilon_1}{a_1 + \cfrac{\varepsilon_2}{a_2 + \cfrac{\varepsilon_3}{a_3 + \cdots}}}, \quad \varepsilon_i = \pm 1.$$

In particular, setting $R := \liminf_{i\to\infty} a_i$, we obtain the optimal lower bound

$$\rho(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{3}{R} + O\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\right)\right),$$

complementing an old optimal upper bound of Fukasawa

$$\rho(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{2}{R^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{R^4}\right)\right).$$

For any α we show how to construct a γ_* with

$$M(\alpha,\gamma_*) \geq \frac{1}{25.159...}.$$

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

1. Introduction

For an irrational real number α and real number γ one defines the *two-sided inhomogeneous approximation constant*

$$M(\alpha,\gamma) := \liminf_{|n|\to\infty} |n|||n\alpha-\gamma||. \tag{1.1}$$

Fixing α and varying γ gives an *inhomogeneous spectrum* for α

$$\mathbf{L}(\alpha) := \{M(\alpha,\gamma) \ : \ \gamma\in\mathbf{R},\ \ \gamma\notin \mathbf{Z}+\alpha\mathbf{Z}\}, \tag{1.2}$$

akin (awkward reciprocals aside) to the traditional Lagrange spectrum

$$\mathbf{L} := \{M(\alpha,0)^{-1} : \alpha\in\mathbf{R}\}. \tag{1.3}$$

For a γ not of the form $m+n\alpha$, $n,m\in\mathbf{Z}$, we showed in [12] how to express $M(\alpha,\gamma)$ in terms of a semi-regular continued fraction expansion of α

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= [a_0;\varepsilon_1 a_1,\varepsilon_2 a_2,\varepsilon_3 a_3,....], \quad \varepsilon_i=\pm 1,\\ &= a_0+\cfrac{\varepsilon_1}{a_1+\cfrac{\varepsilon_2}{a_2+\cfrac{\varepsilon_3}{a_3+\cdots}}},\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

(we use Bosma's notation [3]) and a corresponding alpha-expansion of $\{\gamma\}$

$$\{\gamma\} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i D_{i-1}, \quad D_i := |q_i\alpha-p_i|, \tag{1.5}$$

where p_i/q_i are the convergents arising from the truncations of the expansion of α. As usual $||x||$ and $\{x\}$ denote the distance from x to the nearest integer and the fractional part of x respectively. By working with $\pm\alpha \pmod 1$ we can of course assume that

$$0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}, \qquad 0\le\gamma<1. \tag{1.6}$$

We are interested here in the largest point of the spectrum (1.2),

$$\rho(\alpha) := \sup_{\gamma\notin\mathbf{Z}+\alpha\mathbf{Z}} M(\alpha,\gamma), \tag{1.7}$$

and in particular how $\rho(\alpha)$ is affected by the size of the partial quotients in the expansion of α. From a well-known result of Minkowski

$$\rho(\alpha) \le \frac{1}{4}. \tag{1.8}$$

Grace [9] showed that this bound is achievable (see also Cassels [4, Thm IIB, p.48], Rockett-Szusz [17] and related results of Barnes [2]). Equality though requires unbounded partial quotients and for badly approximable α Khinchin [10] obtained the improvement

$$\rho(\alpha) \le \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1 - 4M^2(\alpha, 0)}, \tag{1.9}$$

with equality in (1.9) for the golden ratio or when α has period

$$\varepsilon_i a_i = +R, \ R \text{ even}. \tag{1.10}$$

However while $M(\alpha, 0)$ is controlled primarily by the size of the limsup of the partial quotients, $\rho(\alpha)$ depends more naturally on the liminf of the partial quotients. It will be convenient here to use the *nearest integer continued fraction expansion*, rather than the usual *regular continued fraction expansion* with all $\varepsilon_i = +1$. That is to say the partial quotients a_i are generated iteratively by taking the nearest integer in the continued fraction algorithm, rather than always rounding down as in the regular continued fraction (see (2.3)). When (1.4) is the nearest integer expansion and

$$R := \liminf_{i \to \infty} a_i, \tag{1.11}$$

Fukasawa [8,I,II] showed that

$$\rho(\alpha) = \frac{1}{4} \text{ iff } R = \infty. \tag{1.12}$$

For finite R he gave a number of upper bounds. In particular

$$\rho(\alpha) \le \frac{1}{4}\frac{R}{\sqrt{R^2+4}} = \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{2}{R^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{R^4}\right)\right), \text{ if } R \text{ is even}, \tag{1.13}$$

with equality when α has eventual period (1.10) (and an improvement otherwise), and

$$\rho(\alpha) \le \frac{1}{2}\frac{R-1}{\sqrt{(R+1)^2-4}+\sqrt{(R-1)^2+4},} \le \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{R}\right), \text{ if } R \ge 5 \text{ is odd.} \tag{1.14}$$

Although asymptotically optimal, further refinements are possible when more is know about the signs of the ε_i (see Fukasawa [8,IV]). Indeed if the nearest integer expansion coincides with the *negative continued fraction expansion* (i.e. the $\varepsilon_i = -1$ for all $i \ge 2$), then

$$\rho(\alpha) \le \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{R}\right), \text{ if } R \ge 3, \tag{1.15}$$

for even as well as odd R (again best possible, see [14]). Notice that (1.12) would not be true if we replaced the nearest integer by the regular or negative continued fraction expansion (from the correspondence between the regular expansion and negative expansion;

(1.16)

$$[0; a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, \dots] = [0; (a_1+1), \underbrace{-2, ..., -2}_{a_2-1}, -(a_3+2), \underbrace{-2, ..., -2}_{a_4-1}, -(a_5+2), ...]$$

a nearest integer expansion with $\rho(\alpha) = \frac{1}{4}$ and all $\varepsilon_i = -1$ would have every other $a_i = 1$ in its regular expansion, and one with all $\varepsilon_i = 1$ would have arbitrarily long strings of $a_i = 2$ in its negative expansion).

We are interested here in obtaining corresponding lower bounds for $\rho(\alpha)$ in terms of R. We showed in [12] that when all but finitely many of the partial quotients of α are even (with additional restrictions imposed on occurrences of $\varepsilon_i a_i = -2$) the value of $\rho(\alpha)$ is achieved by taking

$$\gamma^* = \gamma^*(\alpha) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}(a_i - 1 + \varepsilon_i) D_{i-1}. \tag{1.17}$$

If there are odd partial quotients we can no longer take the integer coefficients c_i to be all $\frac{1}{2}(a_i - 1 + \varepsilon_i)$ but it seems reasonable to take c_i to be the closest integer possible to this. Hence if a_{n_i} denotes the ith odd partial quotient we set

$$\gamma_* = \gamma_*(\alpha) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}(a_i - 1 + \varepsilon_i + \lambda_i) D_{i-1}, \quad \lambda_i := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a_i \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^j, & \text{if } a_i = a_{n_j}. \end{cases} \tag{1.18}$$

The value of $M(\alpha, \gamma_*)$ then gives us a lower bound on $\rho(\alpha)$:

Theorem 1. *Suppose that (1.4) gives the nearest integer continued fraction expansion of α. Then with R as in (1.11) and γ_* as in (1.18) we have*

$$\rho(\alpha) \geq M(\alpha, \gamma_*) \geq \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2(\sqrt{13}+10)} = \dfrac{1}{27.211...}, & \text{if } R = 2, \\ \dfrac{1}{20.487...}, & \text{if } R = 3, \\ \dfrac{1}{4}\left(1 - \dfrac{3}{R} + \dfrac{4}{R^2} - \dfrac{7}{R^3}\right), & \text{if } R \geq 4 \text{ is even}, \\ \dfrac{1}{4}\left(1 - \dfrac{3}{R} + \dfrac{3}{R^2} - \dfrac{6}{R^3}\right), & \text{if } R \geq 5 \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{1.19}$$

Theorem 1 will follow from the more precise Theorem 4 bound given in Section 3. Our result is asymptotically fairly precise (at least when R is even):

Theorem 2. *If α has an expansion of period*

$$-R, R, -(R+1), (R+1), \tag{1.20}$$

then

$$\rho(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{4}\left(1 - \dfrac{3}{R} + \dfrac{4}{R^2} + O(R^{-3})\right), & \text{if } R \text{ is even}, \\ \dfrac{1}{4}\left(1 - \dfrac{3}{R} + \dfrac{5}{R^2} + O(R^{-3})\right), & \text{if } R \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{1.21}$$

Notice that Theorem 1 gives the absolute lower bound $\rho(\alpha) \geq 1/27.211...$ for all α (this being the best bound producable from our choice γ_*). However if we use what we shall call an *adjusted nearest integer expansion* rather than the nearest integer expansion then we can improve this bound slightly.

Theorem 3. *If (1.4) gives an 'adjusted nearest integer expansion' for α, as defined in Section 2 below, then the corresponding γ_* defined in (1.18) satisfies*

$$M(\alpha, \gamma_*) \geq \frac{(\sqrt{10}-3)(7-\sqrt{13})}{(31-2\sqrt{10}-3\sqrt{13})} = \frac{1}{25.159...}. \tag{1.22}$$

It will be clear from the proof that we can come arbitrarily close to this bound by taking expansions of period

$$(3,)^k - 2, 2, -3, (-4, -2, 2, 2,)^k \tag{1.23}$$

with k suitably large (although presumably γ_* does not give $\rho(\alpha)$).

Bounds of the form,

$$\rho(\alpha) \geq C_0 \tag{1.24}$$

for all α in $\mathbf{R}$, are certainly not new (see Davenport [5]). Davenport [6] gives $C_0 = \frac{1}{128}$, Ennola [7] improving this to

$$C_0 = \frac{1}{(16 + 6\sqrt{6})} = \frac{1}{30.24...} \tag{1.25}$$

(again best possible for his construction). The bound 1/32 is given in [17].

The smallest known value of $\rho(\alpha)$ (giving an upper bound on the largest C_0 possible in such a bound) still appears to be Pitman's example [16]

$$\rho\left(\frac{\sqrt{3122285} - 1097}{1094}\right) = \frac{547}{4\sqrt{3122285}} = \frac{1}{12.921...}. \tag{1.26}$$

This α has a nearest integer expansion of period

$$3, -3, -3, -3, -2, 3, -3, -2, \tag{1.27}$$

and an adjusted nearest integer expansion of period

$$-4, -2, 2, -4, -3, -2, 2, -3. \tag{1.28}$$

Davenport's and Ennola's bounds in fact arise from the closely related study of the inhomogeneous minima of real indefinite quadratic forms (the connection is explained in Section 5).

2. Notation

We recall some notations from [12]. For an expansion of the form (1.4) we write α_i for the tail of the continued fraction

$$\alpha_i := [0; a_{i+1}, \varepsilon_{i+2}a_{i+2}, \varepsilon_{i+3}a_{i+3}, \dots], \tag{2.1}$$

and $\bar{\alpha}_i$ for the reversed expansion,

$$\bar{\alpha}_i := [0; a_i, \varepsilon_i a_{i-1}, \varepsilon_{i-1}a_{i-2}, \dots, \varepsilon_2 a_1]. \tag{2.2}$$

We shall primarily assume that (1.4) is the *nearest integer continued fraction expansion*, generated using the algorithm

$$\begin{aligned} (a_0, \varepsilon_1, \alpha_0) &:= (0, 1, \alpha), \\ (a_{i+1}, \varepsilon_{i+2}, \alpha_{i+1}) &:= \begin{cases} \left(\lfloor \alpha_i^{-1} \rfloor, 1, \left|\left|\alpha_i^{-1}\right|\right|\right), & \text{if } ||\alpha_i^{-1}|| = \{\alpha_i^{-1}\}, \\ \left(\lceil \alpha_i^{-1} \rceil, -1, \left|\left|\alpha_i^{-1}\right|\right|\right), & \text{if } ||\alpha_i^{-1}|| = 1 - \{\alpha_i^{-1}\}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

For the nearest integer continued fraction the 'backwards' expansion will be a *singular continued fraction expansion* (see Perron [13, §40]) and we plainly have

$$\alpha_i < 1/2, \quad \bar{\alpha}_i \le [0; 2, \overline{-3}] = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}. \tag{2.4}$$

Writing p_i/q_i for the convergents

$$\frac{p_i}{q_i} := [a_0; \varepsilon_1 a_1, \varepsilon_2 a_2, ..., \varepsilon_i a_i], \tag{2.5}$$

we define

$$\delta_i := -(-\varepsilon_1)(-\varepsilon_2)\cdots(-\varepsilon_{i+1}), \quad D_i := \delta_i(q_i\alpha - p_i), \tag{2.6}$$

and recall the relations

$$D_i = \alpha_0\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_i, \quad q_i = (\bar{\alpha}_1\cdots\bar{\alpha}_i)^{-1}. \tag{2.7}$$

When $R = 2$ there is an inherent asymmetry between the 'forwards' and 'backwards' expansions α_i and $\bar{\alpha}_i$ in that we can have $\varepsilon_i = -1$, $a_i = 2$ but not $a_i = 2$, $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$. Thus there is some merit in using an *adjusted nearest integer expansion* satisfying

(i) $a_i \geq 2$ with $a_i = 2 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_i$ or $\varepsilon_{i+1} = 1$.

(ii) If $a_i = 2$, $\varepsilon_i = -1$ then $1/\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} - 1/\alpha_i \geq 1$.

(iii) If $a_i = 2$, $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$ then $1/\alpha_i - 1/\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} > 1$.

(iv) The expansion contains no block $\cdots, 2, -2, \cdots$ or $\cdots, 2, (-3,)^k - 2, \cdots$.

For these expansions we certainly have

$$\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_i \leq [0; 2, \overline{-3}] = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}. \tag{2.8}$$

Such an expansion can be obtained from the nearest integer continued fraction (and vice versa) by use of the relation

$$[...., \varepsilon a, -2, b, ...] = [..., \varepsilon(a-1), 2, -(b+1), ...] \tag{2.9}$$

to replace any combinations $a_i = 2, \varepsilon_i = -1$ not satisfying (ii) by $a_i = 2$, $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$ satisfying (iii), applying

$$[..., -3, -3, -2, b, ...] = [..., -3, -2, 2, -(b+1), ...] = [..., -2, 2, -3, -(b+1), ...] \tag{2.10}$$

as necessary to deal with any additional $a_i = 2, \varepsilon_i = -1$ not satisfying (ii) introduced by this process by the presence of a preceding -3. Blocks disallowed in (iv) will plainly not be introduced by this process.

For a real γ in $[0, 1]$ and expansion (1.4) we form the alpha expansion

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i D_{i-1} \tag{2.11}$$

by setting $\gamma_0 := \gamma$ and

$$c_{i+1} := \left\lfloor \frac{\gamma_i}{\alpha_i} \right\rfloor, \quad \gamma_{i+1} := \left\{ \frac{\gamma_i}{\alpha_i} \right\}. \tag{2.12}$$

Writing

$$b_i := \begin{cases} a_i, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{i+1} = 1, \\ a_i - 1, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{i+1} = -1, \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

this gives the unique expansion of the form (2.11) with coefficients satisfying

(i) $0 \le c_i \le b_i$,

(ii) If $(c_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}) = (a_i, +1)$ then $c_{i+1} = 0$

(iii) If $(c_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}) = (a_i - 1, -1)$ with $(c_j, \varepsilon_{j+1}) = (a_j - 2, -1)$ for any $i < j < t$ then $c_t \le b_t - 1$. If in addition $(c_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) = (a_t - 1, +1)$ then $c_{t+1} = 0$.

(iv) The tail of the sequence does not consist solely of blocks of the form

(a) $(c_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}) = (a_i, +1)$, $(c_{i+1}, \varepsilon_{i+1}) = (0, \pm 1)$,

(b) $(c_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}) = (a_i - 1, -1)$, $(c_j, \varepsilon_{j+1}) = (a_j - 2, -1)$, for all $j > i$,

(c) $(c_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}) = (a_i - 1, -1)$, $(c_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) = (a_t - 1, +1)$, $(c_{t+1}, \varepsilon_{t+2}) = (0, \pm 1)$, with $(c_j, \varepsilon_{j+1}) = (a_j - 2, -1)$ for any $i < j < t$.

From the alpha-expansion we extract the sequence of integers such that

$$c_i = \frac{1}{2}(a_i - 1 + \varepsilon_i + t_i), \tag{2.14}$$

and form $d_n^+ = d_n^+(\alpha, \gamma)$, $d_n^- = d_n^-(\alpha, \gamma)$

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^- &:= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \delta_{i-1} q_{i-1} \right) / \delta_{n-1} q_n \\ &= t_n \bar{\alpha}_n + (-\varepsilon_n) t_{n-1} \bar{\alpha}_n \bar{\alpha}_{n-1} + (-\varepsilon_n)(-\varepsilon_{n-1}) t_{n-2} \bar{\alpha}_n \bar{\alpha}_{n-1} \bar{\alpha}_{n-2} + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

$$d_n^+ := \left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} t_i D_{i-1} \right) / D_{n-1} = t_{n+1}\alpha_n + t_{n+2}\alpha_n\alpha_{n+1} + t_{n+3}\alpha_n\alpha_{n+1}\alpha_{n+2} + \cdots . \tag{2.15}$$

We define

$$S(\gamma) := \{ i \ : \ |d_i^-| \le 1 - \bar{\alpha}_i \text{ and } -(1 - \varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i) \le d_i^+ \le (1 + \varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i) \}. \tag{2.16}$$

In Theorem 1 of [12] we showed:

Lemma 1. *If i is in $S(\gamma)$ for all but finitely many i then*

$$M(\alpha,\gamma) = \liminf_{i\to\infty} \min\{s_1(i), s_2(i), s_3(i), s_4(i)\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(i) &:= \frac{1}{4}(1-\bar{\alpha}_i + d_i^-)(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i + d_i^+)/(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i), \\ s_3(i) &:= \frac{1}{4}(1-\bar{\alpha}_i - d_i^-)(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i - d_i^+)/(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i), \\ s_2(i) &:= \frac{1}{4}(1+\bar{\alpha}_i - d_i^-)(1-\varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i + d_i^+)/(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i), \\ s_4(i) &:= \frac{1}{4}(1+\bar{\alpha}_i + d_i^-)(1-\varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i - d_i^+)/(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

We also gave the upper bound:

Lemma 2. *If i is in $S(\gamma)$ for all but finitely many i then*

$$M(\alpha,\gamma) \le \liminf_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{a_i - |t_i|}{a_i + \varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i + \varepsilon_i\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}}\right), \tag{2.18}$$

with

$$M(\alpha,\gamma) \le \limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{a_i + \varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i + \varepsilon_i\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}}\right) \tag{2.19}$$

otherwise.

There is presumably a connection between Lemma 1 and the *divided cell algorithm* approach of Barnes [2].

3. Refined bounds

For an expansion (1.4) with $R = \liminf a_i$ we define

$$R_* := \begin{cases} R, & \text{if } R \text{ is odd,} \\ R+1, & \text{if } R \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \qquad R_{**} := \begin{cases} R, & \text{if } R \text{ is even,} \\ R+1, & \text{if } R \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\theta_1 := \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{R_*^2+4} - R_*), \quad \theta_2 := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(R_{**} - \sqrt{R_{**}^2-4}), & \text{if } R \ge 3, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } R = 2. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

The lower bound (1.19) will follow from the precise bound:

Theorem 4. *If (1.4) gives the nearest integer expansion for α, then the corresponding γ_* defined in (1.18) satisfies*

$$M(\alpha,\gamma_*) \geq M_1 := \frac{1}{4}\frac{\left(1-\frac{2}{R_*-\theta_2}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\max\{4,R_{**}\}}\left(1+\frac{\theta_1^2}{1-\theta_1}\right)\right)}{1+\frac{1}{\max\{4,R_{**}\}}\left(\theta_1-\frac{1}{R_*-\theta_2}\right)}. \tag{3.3}$$

This bound is best possible in the sense that periodic α with period

$$\begin{aligned} &(R_*,)^k R_{**}, -R_*, (-R_{**},)^k \quad \text{if } R \geq 3, \\ &(3,)^k 4, -3, -2, A, \quad \text{if } R = 2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

will have $M(\alpha,\gamma_*) \to M_1$ as k (and A) $\to \infty$.

We should note that γ_* will not give $\rho(\alpha)$ for the examples (3.4). If $\varepsilon_i = 1$ for almost all i (i.e. the expansion agrees with the regular expansion from some point onwards) then the lower bound (1.19) readily improves to

$$M(\alpha,\gamma_*) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{2}{R}+O(\frac{1}{R^2})\right). \tag{3.5}$$

In this case it proves easier to remove the sign alternation and consider

$$\gamma_{**} := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2}(a_i+\lambda_i'), \quad \lambda_i' := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a_i \text{ is even,} \\ 1, & \text{if } a_i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

This gives the optimal γ when α has period $+R^*$ (as does γ_*) and in a number of period two cases (see [11])

Theorem 5.

If (1.4) gives the regular continued fraction expansion of α with $R \geq 2$, then with R_* and θ_1 as in (3.1) and (3.2) above

$$\begin{aligned} M(\alpha,\gamma_{**}) &\geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{2}{R_*}\right)(1-2\theta_1^2-\theta_1^3) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{2}{R_*}-\frac{2}{{R_*}^2}+\frac{3}{R_*^3}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

In particular when the regular continued fraction expansion does not have infinitely many ones we obtain

$$\rho(\alpha) \geq M(\alpha, \gamma_{**}) \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{13}+8} = \frac{1}{15.211...}. \tag{3.8}$$

Bound (3.7) is sharp, achieved by taking an α with infinitely many blocks $A, (R^*,)^k$ with A and $k \to \infty$, although γ_{**} will not give $\rho(\alpha)$ in such cases. Asymptotically though (3.7) is a good lower bound for $\rho(\alpha)$:

Theorem 6. *Suppose that the expansion of α has period*

$$R_{**}, R_*, R_*, R_*, R_*. \tag{3.9}$$

Then

$$\rho(\alpha) = \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{2}{R_*} - \frac{2}{R_*^2} + O(\frac{1}{R_*^3})\right). \tag{3.10}$$

Setting

$$\theta := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(R - \sqrt{R^2-4}), & \text{if } R \geq 3, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } R = 2, \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

Theorem 1 also gives us a bound of the form

$$M(\alpha, \gamma_*) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{(R-3)}{(R-2\theta)} + \frac{4}{R^3}\right), \quad R \geq 4. \tag{3.12}$$

This immediately gives us a simple bound on the extent to which the integer coefficients c_i in the alpha-expansion of optimal (in the sense of achieving $\rho(\alpha)$) or near optimal γ can deviate from $\frac{1}{2}(a_i - 1 + \varepsilon_i)$:

Theorem 7.

Suppose that $R \geq 4$ is finite and that γ has

$$M(\alpha, \gamma) > M(\alpha, \gamma_*) - R_*^{-3}. \tag{3.13}$$

If (1.4) gives the nearest integer expansion of α then

$$|t_i| < \frac{3a_i}{R} \tag{3.14}$$

for all but finitely many i. In particular $a_k = R \geq 4$ implies that

$$t_k = \begin{cases} \pm 1, & \text{if } a_k \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 \text{ or } \pm 2, & \text{if } a_k \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

for almost all k.

If (1.4) gives the regular continued fraction expansion of α then

$$|t_i| < \frac{2a_i}{R_* - 1} \tag{3.16}$$

for almost all i with

$$t_k = \begin{cases} \pm 1, & \text{if } a_k \text{ is odd,} \\ 0, & \text{if } a_k \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

when $a_k = R \geq 4$.

The restriction $R \geq 4$ is actually needed here; for example a period two alpha with $\varepsilon_{2k} a_{2k} = -3$, $\varepsilon_{2k+1} a_{2k+1} = -4$ for all but finitely many k has $\rho(\alpha)$ achieved for γ with $c_{2k} = 2$ and $c_{2k+1} = 0$ for all but finitely many k. All possibilities in (3.15) and (3.17) can occur (see examples in [15] and [11]).

4. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1

We show how Theorem 1 can be deduced from the lower bound M_1 of Theorem 4 (proved below). We assume $R \geq 4$ (evaluating M_1 gives the bound for $R = 2$ or 3). Observe that

$$1 + \frac{1}{\max\{4, R_{**}\}} \left(\theta_1 - \frac{1}{R_* - \theta_2} \right) = 1 - \frac{\theta_1(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}{R_{**}(R_* - \theta_2)} < 1,$$

$$1 - \frac{2}{R_* - \theta_2} = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{2}{R} - \frac{2}{R^3} + \frac{2\theta_2(R(1-\theta_2)-1)}{R^3(R-\theta_2)}, & \text{if } R \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 - \frac{2}{R} + \frac{2}{R^2} - \frac{4}{R^3} + \frac{2(1-\theta_2)(3+\theta_2^2)}{R^3(R+1-\theta_2)}, & \text{if } R \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$1 - \frac{1}{\max\{4, R_{**}\}} \left(1 + \frac{\theta_1^2}{1 - \theta_1} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{R+1} - \frac{1}{R^3} + \frac{\theta_1^2(R+2-\theta_1^2)}{R^3(R+1)}, & \text{if } R \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 - \frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{R^3} + \frac{\theta_1^2(R(1+\theta_1)+1)}{R^3(1-\theta_1)}, & \text{if } R \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Hence if R is odd

$$M_1 \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{2}{R}-\frac{2}{R^3}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{R+1}-\frac{1}{R^3}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{3}{R}+\frac{3}{R^2}-\frac{6}{R^3}+\frac{(7R^3+2R^2+2R+2)}{R^6(R+1)}\right),$$

and if R is even

$$M_1 \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{2}{R}+\frac{2}{R^2}-\frac{4}{R^3}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{R}-\frac{1}{R^3}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{3}{R}+\frac{4}{R^2}-\frac{7}{R^3}+\frac{2(3R^2-R+2)}{R^6}\right),$$

and the simplified lower bounds of Theorem 1 are plain. ■

Proof of Theorem 2

We suppose that α has expansion of period $-R_{**}, R_{**}, -R_*, R_*$ and that γ achieves $\rho(\alpha)$. From Theorem 7 (proved below) we can assume that $t_k = 0, \pm 2$ if $a_k = R_{**}$ and $t_k = \pm 1, \pm 3$ if $a_k = R_*$. Moreover we can eliminate $t_k = \pm 3$ since if $t_k = \pm 3$ infinitely often (2.17) gives

$$M(\alpha,\gamma) \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{R_*-3}{R_*+O(R^{-2})}\right) = \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{3}{R_*}+O(R^{-3})\right).$$

Now if $(t_k, t_{k+1}) = (2,2)$ then

$$s_3(k) = \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{3}{R_{**}}+O(R^{-2})\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{R_{**}}+O(R^{-2})\right)/(1+O(R^{-2}))$$
$$= \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{4}{R}+O(R^{-2})\right).$$

If $(t_k, t_{k+1}) = (2,-2)$ then $s_2(k)$ gives the same result. Observing that once we have eliminated a block of t_i from consideration the same is true for its negative (since changing the signs of the t_i merely interchanges $s_1(i)$ with $s_3(i)$ and $s_2(i)$ with $s_4(i)$) we can assume that $(a_k, a_{k+1}) = (R_{**}, R_{**})$ implies that $\pm(t_k, t_{k+1}) = (0,0), (2,0)$ or $(0,2)$. Now if $(t_k, t_{k+1}) = (0,2)$ then

$$s_3(k+1) \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{3}{R_{**}}+O(R^{-3})\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{R_*^2}+O(R^{-3})\right)/\left(1-\frac{1}{R_*^2}+O(R^{-3})\right)$$
$$= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{3}{R}+\frac{2}{R^2}+O(R^{-3})\right), & \text{if } R \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{3}{R}+\frac{5}{R^2}+O(R^{-3})\right), & \text{if } R \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

with $(2,0)$ being dealt with similarly using $s_3(k+1)$. Hence we assume that $(t_k, t_{k+1}) = (0,0)$ when $(a_k, a_{k+1}) = (R_{**}, R_{**})$. Now if we have a block $\vec{t} = (t_k, ..., t_{k+5}) = (0,0,1,1,0,0)$ then

$$s_3(k+1) \le \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{R_{**}} + O(R^{-3})\right)\left(1 - \frac{2}{R_*} - \frac{1}{R_*^2} + O(R^{-3})\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{R_*^2} + O(R^{-3})\right)}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{3}{R} + \frac{4}{R^2} + O(R^{-3})\right), & \text{if } R \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{3}{R} + \frac{3}{R^2} + O(R^{-3})\right), & \text{if } R \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

with $s_3(k+3)$ giving the same bound if $\vec{t} = (0,0,-1,1,0,0)$. Since $\pm\vec{t}$ must be of one of these forms we gain the upper bound (1.21) for $\rho(\alpha)$. For R even the lower bound (1.19) shows that $M(\alpha, \gamma_*)$ achieves this, while for R odd it is readily checked that the period four expansion $\overline{0, -2, 1, 1}$ for the t_i achieves the upper bound (1.21). ■

Proofs of The Lower Bounds in Theorems 3, 4 & 5

We suppose that we are working with the nearest integer expansion or an adjusted nearest integer expansion as appropriate. We assume that $R = \liminf a_i \ge 2$ is finite with $a_i \ge R$ for all i (from Theorem 1 of [11] changing a finite number of partial quotients a_i or coefficients c_i has no effect on the value of $M(\alpha, \gamma)$). We assume that γ_* has the expansion (1.18), and when the nearest integer expansion is also a regular expansion that γ_{**} has expansion (3.6). From Lemmas 3 and 13 below these expansions satisfy the requirements of Lemma 1 and hence for Theorems 3, 4 and 5 it will be enough to check that the functions $s_1(i), ..., s_4(i)$ defined in (2.17) are greater than the required bounds.

With $R_*, R_{**}, \theta, \theta_1$ and θ_2 as in (3.1), (3.2) and (3.11) above we define the parameters

$$R_1 := R_* - \theta, \quad R_2 := \max\{2, R_{**} - \theta\},$$
$$R_3 := R_* - \theta_2, \quad R_4 := \max\{4, R_{**}\} - \theta, \quad R_5 := R_{**} - \theta,$$

(4.1)

so that for a nearest integer expansion

$$\alpha_i \le \begin{cases} 1/R_1, & \text{if } a_{i+1} \text{ is odd,} \\ 1/R_2, & \text{if } a_{i+1} \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \qquad \bar{\alpha}_i \le \begin{cases} 1/R_1, & \text{if } a_i \text{ is odd,} \\ 1/R_5, & \text{if } a_i \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

with R_4 replacing R_5 when $a_i \geq 4$.

We give the following bounds on d_i^+ and d_i^- for γ_*:

Lemma 3. *If (1.4) is a nearest integer or adjusted nearest integer expansion then expansion (1.18) gives the valid alpha-expansion for γ_*, with all i in $S(\gamma_*)$ (see (2.16)).*

If (1.4) is a nearest integer expansion then for γ_* the d_i^+, d_i^- of (2.15) satisfy

$$|d_i^+| \leq \min\left\{\alpha_i, \frac{1}{R_1}\right\}, \quad |d_i^-| \leq \frac{1}{1-\theta_1}\min\{\theta_1, \bar{\alpha}_i\}.$$

If (1.4) is an adjusted nearest integer expansion with $R = 2$ then γ_* has

$$|d_i^+| \leq \min\left\{\alpha_i, \frac{2}{5}\right\}, \quad |d_i^-| \leq \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i\right\}.$$

Proof: Clearly $c_i = \frac{1}{2}(a_i - 1 + \varepsilon_i + \lambda_i)$ has $0 \leq c_i < a_i$ with $c_i = a_i - 1$ implying $\varepsilon_i = 1$. Moreover $c_i = a_i - 1$ or $(c_i, \varepsilon_i) = (a_i - 2, -1)$ can only occur when $a_i = 2$ or $a_i = 3$ and $\lambda_i = 1$. The sign alternation in the λ_i thus prevents $(c_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}) = (a_i - 1, -1)$ being followed by $(c_j, \varepsilon_{j+1}) = (a_j - 2, -1)$ for both $j = i + 1$ and $i + 2$. Hence (1.18) gives a valid expansion.

Next we bound d_i^+. If a_{i+j} is even for all $j \geq 1$ then plainly $d_i^+ = 0$, so assume that a_{i+J} is odd for some $J \geq 1$ with a_{i+j} even for any $1 \leq j < J$. Then the alternation in the sign of the λ_i gives

$$|d_i^+| = \left(\prod_{1 \leq j < J} \alpha_{i+j-1}\right)|d_{i+J-1}^+| \leq \prod_{1 \leq i \leq J} \alpha_{i+j-1} \leq \min\{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+J-1}\}$$

and the bound follows from (4.2).

We assume first that we are using the nearest integer expansion and show by induction that γ_* satisfies $|d_i^-| \leq \theta_1/(1-\theta_1)$, the bound

$$|d_i^-| \leq \bar{\alpha}_i\left(1 + |d_{i-1}^-|\right) \tag{4.3}$$

then immediately giving $|d_i^-| \leq \bar{\alpha}_i/(1-\theta_1)$. For $i = 1$ we plainly have $|d_1^-| = 0$ or $1/a_1 \leq 1/R_*$ as a_1 is even or odd. If a_i is even we have $|d_i^-| = \bar{\alpha}_i|d_{i-1}^-| < |d_{i-1}^-|$ so we

assume that a_i is odd. If $a_i > R_*$ or $a_i = R_*$, $\varepsilon_i = 1$, $\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} \geq \theta_1$ we have $\bar{\alpha}_i \leq \theta_1$ and (4.3) gives

$$|d_i^-| \leq \theta_1 \left(1 + \theta_1/(1-\theta_1)\right).$$

If $a_i = R_*$, $\varepsilon_i = 1$, and $\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} \leq \theta_1$ then $\bar{\alpha}_i = 1/(R_* + \bar{\alpha}_{i-1})$ and (4.3) gives

$$|d_i^-| \leq \bar{\alpha}_i \left(1 + \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}/(1-\theta_1)\right) < \theta_1/(1-\theta_1).$$

If $a_i = R_*$, $\varepsilon_i = -1$ and a_{i-1} is odd then the alternation in the sign of the λ_i gives

$$|d_i^-| \leq \bar{\alpha}_i < \theta_1/(1-\theta_1),$$

since plainly $\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} < 1 - \theta_1$. If $a_i = R_*$, $\varepsilon_i = -1$ and a_{i-1} is even then

$$|d_i^-| \leq \bar{\alpha}_i \left(1 + \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}|d_{i-2}^-|\right) \leq \left(1 + \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}\theta_1/(1-\theta_1)\right)/(R_* - \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}) < \theta_1/(1-\theta_1),$$

since $a_{i-1} \geq 4$ certainly ensures that $\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} < \frac{1}{2}(1-\theta_1)$. For the adjusted nearest integer expansion with $R = 2$ we only bother to obtain the less precise bound $|d_i^-| < 1/2$. The proof is almost identical, noting that if a_i is odd with $a_i \geq 5$ or $a_i = 3$ and $\varepsilon_i = 1$ then (4.3) gives

$$|d_i^-| \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

while if $a_i = 3$ and $\varepsilon_i = -1$, then $\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} < 1/2$ gives

$$|d_i^-| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}\right)/(3 - \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}) < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Finally i is in $S(\gamma_*)$ for all i since $|d_i^-| \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq 1 - \bar{\alpha}_i$ unless $\varepsilon_i a_i = -2$ when $|d_i^-| = \bar{\alpha}_i |d_{i-1}^-| \leq \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i < 1 - \bar{\alpha}_i$, while $|d_i^+| \leq \frac{2}{5} < 1 - \alpha_i$ unless $a_{i+1} = 2$ when $|d_i^+| = \alpha_i |d_{i+1}^+| < \frac{2}{5}\alpha_i < 1 - \alpha_i$. ■

Lemmas for Theorem 3

We suppose that (1.4) gives an adjusted nearest integer expansion for α as defined in Section 2. We assume that $R = 2$ (when $R \geq 3$ the result follows from Theorem 1). As remarked above we need only show that the $s_1(i), ..., s_4(i)$ of (2.17) are all at least $1/25.159...$. We break this proof down into a number of lemmas.

Lemma 4. *Suppose that (1.4) is an adjusted nearest integer expansion, as defined in Section 2, with γ_* as in (1.18). Then when $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$ and $a_{i+1} \geq 3$*

$$B_1 := \frac{(1 - \alpha_i + |d_i^+|)}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_i \alpha_i} \geq \frac{\sqrt{10} + 2}{\sqrt{10} + 2 + 2(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i)},$$

with this bound achieved for $\alpha_i = \theta_0 := \frac{1}{3}(4 - \sqrt{10})$, this having period four expansion $\overline{-4, -2, 2, 2}$.

Proof. We suppose that for some $J \geq 0$ we have

$$\varepsilon_{i+4j+2} a_{i+4j+1}, ..., \varepsilon_{i+4j+5} a_{i+4j+4} = -4, 2, 2, -2,$$

for any $0 \leq j < J$. So

$$B_1 = \frac{A_J - B_J \alpha_{i+4J} + |d_{i+4J}^+|}{C_J - D_J \alpha_{i+4J}}$$

where, writing $\mu := 19 + 6\sqrt{10}$, $\beta := 19 - 6\sqrt{10}$,

$$A_J := A\mu^J + B\beta^J, \quad C_J = C\mu^J + D\beta^J,$$

$$B_J := A\left(2 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{10}\right)\mu^J + B\left(2 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{10}\right)\beta^J,$$

$$D_J := C\left(2 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{10}\right)\mu^J + D\left(2 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{10}\right)\beta^J,$$

with

$$A := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{10}}(\sqrt{10} + 2), \;\; B := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{10}}(\sqrt{10} - 2),$$

$$C := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{10}}(\sqrt{10} + 2 + 2(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i)), \;\; D := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{10}}(\sqrt{10} - 2 - 2(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i).$$

Hence $B_1 \to A/C$, the stated bound, as $J \to \infty$ with

$$\frac{A_J - B_J \alpha_{i+4J} + \kappa \alpha_{i+4J}}{C_J - D_J \alpha_{i+4J}} \geq A/C$$

when

$$w := (1 - \bar{\alpha}_i)(\theta_0 - \alpha_{i+4J})\beta^J + (1 - \theta_0 \bar{\alpha}_i)\kappa \alpha_{i+4J} \geq 0.$$

We can therefore assume that $\alpha_{i+4J} > \theta_0$ (since otherwise $\kappa = 0$ gives $w \geq 0$). If $a_{i+4J+1} = 3$ then $|d_{i+4J}^+| = \alpha_{i+4J}(1 - |d_{i+4J+1}^+|)$ so we can take $\kappa = \frac{3}{5}$ and $w > 0$

since $(1-\theta_0\bar{\alpha}_i) > (1-\bar{\alpha}_i)$ and $\alpha_{i+4J} < 2/5$ gives $(\alpha_{i+4J}-\theta_0) < \frac{3}{5}\alpha_{i+4J}$. So we can assume that $a_{i+4J+1}=4$, $\varepsilon_{i+4J+2}=-1$ and (since $\alpha_{i+4J}>\theta_0$ implies $a_{i+4J+2}+\varepsilon_{i+4J+3}\alpha_{i+4J+2} < \frac{1}{3}(4+\sqrt{10})$) also $a_{i+4J+2}=2$, $\varepsilon_{i+4j+3}=1$. Now since $(4-\bar{\alpha}_{i+4J})-(a_{i+4J+3}+\varepsilon_{i+4J+4}\alpha_{i+4J+3}) \geq 1$ we must have $a_{i+4J+3}=2$ or 3 (with $\varepsilon_{i+4J+4}=-1$ if $a_{i+4J+3}=3$). If $a_{i+4J+3}=3$ then $|d^+_{i+4J}| = \alpha_{i+4J}\alpha_{i+4J+1}\alpha_{i+4J+2}(1-|d^+_{i+4J+3}|) = \alpha_{i+4J}(1-|d^+_{i+4J+3}|)/(7-2\alpha_{i+4J+3})$ and we can take $\kappa = 3/35$, giving $w>0$ since $\alpha_{i+4J} = (7-2\alpha_{i+4J+3})/(25-7\alpha_{i+4J+3}) < 7/25$ plainly gives $(\alpha_{i+4J}-\theta_0) < \frac{3}{35}\alpha_{i+4J}$. So we assume that $a_{i+4J+3}=2$ and the condition $\alpha_{i+4J}>\theta_0$ gives $\varepsilon_{i+4J+4}\alpha_{i+4J+3} > \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{10}-2)$ forcing $\varepsilon_{i+4J+4}=1$, $a_{i+4J+4}=2$ and $\varepsilon_{i+4J+5}=-1$. Thus the smallest value of B_1 occurs by letting $J=\infty$. ■

Lemma 5. *Suppose (1.4) is an adjusted nearest integer expansion with $R=2$. If $\varepsilon_{i-2}a_{i-2}=-2$ and $\pm d^+_{i-3} \leq 0$, then γ_* satisfies*

$$T_1 := \frac{(3-\bar{\alpha}_{i-3} \mp d^-_{i-3})}{\left(\frac{1}{3}(11-\sqrt{10})-\bar{\alpha}_{i-3}\right)} \geq \left(\frac{3-\theta_1-\theta_1/(1-\theta_1)}{\frac{1}{3}(11-\sqrt{10})-\theta_1}\right),$$

the value when $\bar{\alpha}_{i-3}=\theta_1 := [0;\overline{3}] = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{13}-3)$.

Proof. We suppose that for some $J \geq 0$ we have $\varepsilon_{i-j-3}a_{i-j-3}=3$ for any $0 \leq j < J$. Then

$$T_1 = \frac{A_J + A_{J-1}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3} \pm (-1)^{J+1}d^-_{i-J-3}}{B_J + B_{J-1}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3}},$$

where

$$A_J := A\theta_1^{-J} + B(-\theta_1)^J + \frac{1}{3}, \quad B_J := C\theta_1^{-J} + D(-\theta_1)^J,$$

with

$$A = \frac{4}{3\sqrt{13}}(\sqrt{13}+2), \quad B = \frac{4}{3\sqrt{13}}(\sqrt{13}-2),$$

$$C = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{13}}((11-\sqrt{10})\theta_1^{-1}-3), \quad D = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{13}}((11-\sqrt{10})\theta_1+3).$$

Hence $T_1 \to A/C$, the stated bound, as $J \to \infty$ with

$$\frac{A_J + (A_{J-1}-\kappa)\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3}}{B_J + B_{J-1}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3}} > \frac{A}{C},$$

when

$$w_1 := \left(\frac{1}{3}(11-\sqrt{10})-\theta_1\right)(1+\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3}(1-3\kappa)) >$$

$$w_2 := \frac{4}{3}(5-\sqrt{10})\,(\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3}-\theta_1)\,(-\theta_1)^J.$$

We suppose that $\varepsilon_{i-J-3}a_{i-J-3} \neq 3$. For $\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3}=0$ we have $w_1 = 2.309... > 0.741... \geq |w_2|$, so it will be enough to check that $w_1 > |w_2|$ for the largest appropriate value of $\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3}$. If $a_{i-J-3} \geq 5$ then $\kappa = \frac{3}{2}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3} = \frac{2}{9}$ give $w_1 \geq 0.513$, $|w_2| < 0.198$. If $a_{i-J-3} \geq 2$ is even then $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$, $\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3} = \frac{2}{3}$ give $w_1 \geq 1.539$, $|w_2| < 0.892$. So we can assume that $a_{i-J-3} = 3$, $\varepsilon_{i-J-3} = -1$. If a_{i-J-4} is odd then $\pm(-1)^{J+1}d^-_{i-J-3} > -\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3}$ and taking $\kappa = 1$, $\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3} = \frac{2}{5}$ gives $w_1 > 0.461$, $|w_2| < 0.239$. If $a_{i-J-4} \geq 4$ is even then $\pm(-1)^{J+1}d^-_{i-J-3} > -\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3}(1+\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-4})$ with $\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-4} < 2/7$. Hence taking $\kappa = \frac{8}{7}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3} = \frac{7}{19}$ gives $w_1 > 0.243$, $|w_2| < 0.161$. So we can assume that $a_{i-J-4} = 2$ and hence (from condition (iv) of an adjusted nearest integer expansion) $J \geq 1$ and $(3+\alpha_{i-J-3}) - (a_{i-J-5} + \varepsilon_{i-J-5}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-6}) \geq 1$ implies $a_{i-J-5} = 2$ and $\varepsilon_{i-J-5}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-6} < \alpha_{i-J-3} < 2/5$. So $\pm(-1)^{J+1}d^-_{i-J-3} > -\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3}(1+\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-4}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-5})$ with $\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-4}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-5} = (5+2\varepsilon_{i-J-5}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-6})^{-1} < \frac{1}{4}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3} = (5+2\varepsilon_{i-J-5}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-6})/(13+5\varepsilon_{i-J-5}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-6}) < 29/75$ and taking $\kappa = \frac{9}{8}$, $\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-3} = 29/75$ gives $w_1 > 0.188$, $|w_2| < 0.063$. Hence in all cases we obtain $w > 0$ and hence a larger contribution than taking $J = \infty$. ■

Lemma 6. *Suppose that (1.4) is an adjusted nearest integer expansion. If* $\varepsilon_{i+1}a_{i+1} \neq 2$ *then* γ_* *satisfies*

$$s_2(i), s_4(i) = \frac{1}{4}(1+\bar{\alpha}_i \mp d_i^-)\frac{(1-\varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i \pm d_i^+)}{(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i)} > \frac{1}{25.147...}.$$

Proof. If $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$ then $B_2 := (1-\varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i \pm d_i^+)/(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i) > 1$ and

$$s_2(i), s_4(i) = \frac{1}{4}(1+\bar{\alpha}_i \mp d_i^-)B_2 \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i\right) > \frac{1}{6},$$

so we assume that $\varepsilon_{i+1} = 1$ and $a_{i+1} \geq 3$. Hence

$$B_2 \geq \frac{1-2\alpha_i}{1+\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i} > \frac{1}{5}\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{2}{5}\bar{\alpha}_i\right)},$$

and if a_i is even we have

$$s_2(i), s_4(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i\right)\frac{1}{5}\frac{1}{(1+\frac{2}{5}\bar{\alpha}_i)} > \frac{1}{20},$$

and if $a_i \geq 5$ is odd

$$s_2(i), s_4(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i\right)\frac{1}{5}\frac{1}{(1+\frac{2}{5}\bar{\alpha}_i)} > \frac{1}{24.5},$$

and we may assume that $a_i = 3$. If $\alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{3}$ then

$$s_2(i), s_4(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i\right)\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{(1+\frac{1}{3}\bar{\alpha}_i)} > \frac{1}{17},$$

so we may also assume that $a_{i+1} = 3$, $\varepsilon_{i+2} = -1$. Now if $\varepsilon_i = -1$ and $a_{i-1} = 2$ then $3 + (3-\alpha_{i+1})^{-1} - \bar{\alpha}_{i-2}^{-1} \geq 1$, giving $\bar{\alpha}_i \leq [0; 3, -2, 2, (3-\alpha_{i+1})]$ with $\alpha_{i+1} < 1/2$, and in all cases $\bar{\alpha}_i < 29/75$. Likewise we obtain $\alpha_i < 29/75$ and in this remaining case

$$s_2(i), s_4(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i\right)\frac{\frac{17}{75}}{(1+\frac{29}{75}\bar{\alpha}_i)} > \frac{1}{25.147...}.$$

Lemma 7. *Suppose that (1.4) is an adjusted nearest integer expansion. If $\pm d_i^+ < 0$ and $\bar{\alpha}_i < 1/2$ and $\varepsilon_{i+1}a_{i+1} \neq -3$ then γ_* satisfies*

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) = \frac{1}{4}(1-\bar{\alpha}_i \pm d_i^-)\frac{(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i \pm d_i^+)}{(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i)} > \frac{1}{24}.$$

Proof. Since $\pm d_i^+ < 0$ we have

$$(1-\bar{\alpha}_i \pm d_i^-) \geq \begin{cases} 1-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i, & \text{if } a_i \text{ is odd,} \\ 1-\frac{3}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i, & \text{if } a_i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

If $\varepsilon_{i+1} = 1$ then

$$B_1 := \frac{(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i \pm d_i^+)}{(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i)} > \frac{1}{1+\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i} > \frac{9}{13}$$

and

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) = \frac{1}{4}(1-\bar{\alpha}_i \pm d_i^-)B_1 \geq \frac{9}{52}\left(1-\frac{3}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i\right) \geq \frac{1}{23.111...},$$

so we assume that $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$. Hence

$$B_1 \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1-7/5\alpha_i}{1-\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i}, & \text{if } a_{i+1} \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1-2\alpha_i}{1-\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i}, & \text{if } a_{i+1} \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and if a_i is odd then $\bar{\alpha}_i < 2/5$ gives

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i\right)\frac{\frac{1}{5}}{1-\frac{2}{5}\bar{\alpha}_i} \geq \frac{1}{21}.$$

So we assume that a_i is even. If $a_{i+1} \geq 4$ is even then

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i\right)\frac{\frac{3}{5}}{1-\frac{2}{7}\bar{\alpha}_i} \geq \frac{1}{22.857...},$$

and if $a_{i+1} = 2$ then $a_i \geq 4$ gives $\bar{\alpha}_i < 2/7$ and

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i\right)\frac{\frac{3}{10}}{1-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i} \geq \frac{1}{20},$$

so we assume that a_{i+1} is odd. If $\alpha_i < 1/5$ then

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i\right)\frac{\frac{3}{5}}{1-\frac{1}{5}\bar{\alpha}_i} \geq \frac{1}{24},$$

so we can assume that $a_{i+1} = 5$, $\varepsilon_{i+2} = -1$. If $a_i = 2$ then we must have $\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} > (4 - \alpha_{i+1})^{-1}$ so that we can assume that $\bar{\alpha}_i < 4/9$ and

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i\right)\frac{\frac{5}{9}}{1-\frac{2}{9}\bar{\alpha}_i} \geq \frac{1}{19.466...}.$$

Lemma 8. *Suppose that (1.4) is an adjusted nearest integer expansion with $R = 2$. If $\pm d_i^+ > 0$ and $\bar{\alpha}_i < 1/2$ then γ_* satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(i), s_3(i) &= \frac{1}{4}(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i \pm d_i^-)\frac{(1 + \varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i \pm d_i^+)}{(1 + \varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{10} - 3)\left(\frac{3 - \theta_1 - \theta_1/(1-\theta_1)}{\frac{1}{3}(11 - \sqrt{10}) - \theta_1}\right) = \frac{1}{25.159...}, \end{aligned}$$

with asymptotic equality when $..., \varepsilon_i a_i, |\varepsilon_{i+1}a_{i+1}, ... = ..., (3,)^k{-}2, 2, -3, |(-4, -2, 2, 2,)^k...$ as $k \to \infty$.

Proof. **Step 1:** We first deal with $a_i \neq 3$.

When $\pm d_i^+ > 0$ we have

$$B_1 := \frac{(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i \pm d_i^+)}{(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i)} \geq \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{i+1}=1, \\ \frac{1-\alpha_i}{1-\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{i+1}=-1, \end{cases} \geq \frac{1}{2-\bar{\alpha}_i}.$$

Hence if $\bar{\alpha}_i \leq 2/7$ we have

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) = \frac{1}{4}(1-\bar{\alpha}_i \pm d_i^-)B_1 \geq \frac{1}{4}\frac{\left(1-\frac{5}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i\right)}{(2-\bar{\alpha}_i)} \geq \frac{1}{24}.$$

If $a_i = 2$ then $\bar{\alpha}_i < 1/2$ gives

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}\frac{(1-\frac{3}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i)}{(2-\bar{\alpha}_i)} \geq \frac{1}{24},$$

so we assume that $a_i = 3$ with $\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} < 1/2$ if $\varepsilon_i = 1$. Thus if $a_{i+1} = 2$, $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$ we have $(3+\varepsilon_i\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}) - \alpha_{i+1}^{-1} \geq 1$ giving $\alpha_i \leq 2/5$ if $\varepsilon_i = -1$ and $\alpha_i < 5/12$ if $\varepsilon_i = 1$ and

$$B_1 \geq \begin{cases} \frac{3}{5-2\bar{\alpha}_i}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_i = -1, \\ \frac{7}{12-5\bar{\alpha}_i}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_i = +1. \end{cases}$$

Step 2: Dealing with $\varepsilon_i a_i = 3$.

If $\varepsilon_i = 1$ then

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{7}{4}\frac{(1-2\bar{\alpha}_i \mp d_{i-1}^-\bar{\alpha}_i)}{(12-5\bar{\alpha}_i)} = \frac{7}{4}\frac{(1+\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} \mp d_{i-1}^-)}{(31+12\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})}.$$

Hence if a_{i-1} is even the bound $\pm d_{i-1}^- \geq -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}$ gives

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{7}{4}\frac{(1+\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})}{(31+12\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})} > \frac{1}{17.714...}$$

and if $\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} < 1/3$ then

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{7}{4}\frac{(1-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})}{(31+12\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})} > \frac{1}{24},$$

leaving only $a_{i-1} = 3$, $\varepsilon_{i-1} = -1$ in which case the observation that $\mp d_{i-1}^- \geq -\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}$ if a_{i-2} is odd with $\mp d_{i-1}^- \geq -\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}\bar{\alpha}_{i-2} \geq -\frac{5}{4}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}$ if a_{i-2} is even gives

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{7}{4}\frac{(1-\frac{1}{4}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})}{(31+12\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})} > \frac{1}{22.730...}.$$

Step 3: Dealing with $\varepsilon_i a_i = -3$ and $\varepsilon_{i-1}a_{i-1} \neq 2$.

When $\varepsilon_i = -1$ we have

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{3}{4}\frac{(1 - 2\bar{\alpha}_i \pm d_{i-1}^{-}\bar{\alpha}_i)}{(5 - 2\bar{\alpha}_i)} = \frac{3}{4}\frac{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{i-1} \pm d_{i-1}^{-})}{(13 - 5\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})}.$$

If a_{i-1} is odd then $\pm d_{i-1}^{-} > \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} < 2/5$ gives

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{3}{4}\frac{(1 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})}{(13 - 5\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})} > \frac{1}{18.333...}.$$

If $a_{i-1} \geq 4$ is even then the bound $\pm d_{i-1}^{-} \geq -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}$ is enough to give

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{3}{4}\frac{(1 - \frac{3}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})}{(13 - 5\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})} > \frac{1}{25.066...},$$

when $\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} \leq \frac{1}{4}$. When $\varepsilon_{i-1}a_{i-1} = -4$, the observation that $\pm d_{i-1}^{-} > 0$ if a_{i-2} is odd and $\pm d_{i-1}^{-} > -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}\bar{\alpha}_{i-2}$ if a_{i-2} is even, with $\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} \leq \frac{2}{7}$ gives

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{3}{4}\frac{(1 - \frac{5}{4}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})}{(13 - 5\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})} > \frac{1}{24}.$$

So we are left only to deal with $\varepsilon_i a_i = -3$, $\varepsilon_{i-1}a_{i-1} = 2$.

Step 4: Dealing with $\varepsilon_{i-1}a_{i-1} = 2$, $\varepsilon_i a_i = -3$ and $\varepsilon_{i+1} = 1$.

Now if $\varepsilon_{i+1} = 1$ we obtain

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}(1 - 2\bar{\alpha}_i \pm \bar{\alpha}_i d_{i-1}^{-})\frac{(1 + \alpha_i)}{(1 + \bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i)} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{i-1} \pm d_{i-1}^{-})(1 + \alpha_i)}{3 - \bar{\alpha}_{i-1} + \alpha_i}.$$

Moreover since $(3 + \alpha_i) - (a_{i-2} + \varepsilon_{i-2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-3}) > 1$ we must have $\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} < (2 + \alpha_i)/(5 + 2\alpha_i) < 8/19$, and $a_{i-2} = 2$ or 3 with $a_{i-2} = 2$ if $\alpha_i < \frac{1}{2}$. Thus if $\alpha_i < 1/2$ we have $\pm d_{i-1}^{-} \geq -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}\bar{\alpha}_{i-2} > -\frac{1}{3}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}$ and

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}\frac{(1 - \frac{4}{3}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})}{(3 - \bar{\alpha}_{i-1})} \geq \frac{1}{23.52},$$

and if $\alpha_i > 1/2$

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{3}{8}\frac{(1 - \frac{3}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})}{(\frac{7}{2} - \bar{\alpha}_{i-1})} \geq \frac{1}{22.285...}.$$

Step 5: Dealing with $\varepsilon_{i-1}a_{i-1} = 2$, $\varepsilon_i a_i = -3$ and $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$.

Now if $(\varepsilon_{i-1}a_{i-1}, \varepsilon_i a_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}a_{i+1}) = (2, -3, -a_{i+1})$ then $\varepsilon_{i-2}a_{i-2} = -2$ (since $a_{i-2} + \varepsilon_{i-2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-3} < 2 - \alpha_i$). From condition (iv) we must have $a_{i+1} \geq 3$. So we can assume that $(\varepsilon_{i-2}a_{i-2}, \varepsilon_{i-1}a_{i-1}, \varepsilon_i a_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}a_{i+1}) = (-2, 2, -3, -a_{i+1})$ with $a_{i+1} \geq 3$ and from Lemmas 4 and 5

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(i), s_3(i) &\geq \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{10}+2)\frac{(1 - 2\bar{\alpha}_i \pm \bar{\alpha}_i d_{i-1}^-)}{(\sqrt{10}+4-2\bar{\alpha}_i)} = \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{10}-3)T_1 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{10}-3)\left(\frac{3-\theta_1-\theta_1/(1-\theta_1)}{\frac{1}{3}(11-\sqrt{10})-\theta_1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

the required lower bound, with asymptotic equality as claimed. ■

Proof of the Theorem 3

From Lemma 6 we have $s_2(i), s_4(i) > 1/25.148$ except when $\varepsilon_{i+1}a_{i+1} = 2$ in which case $s_2(i), s_4(i) = s_1(i+1), s_3(i+1)$.

Hence it is enough to check the $s_1(i), s_3(i)$. If $\varepsilon_i a_i = -2$ then $s_1(i), s_3(i) = s_1(i-1), s_3(i-1)$ with $a_{i-1} \neq 2$ so we can assume that $\bar{\alpha}_i \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Now if $\varepsilon_{i+1}a_{i+1} = -3$ with $\pm d_i^+ < 0$ then $s_1(i), s_3(i) = s_1(i+1), s_3(i+1)$ with $\pm d_{i+1}^+ > 0$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{i+1} < \frac{1}{2}$. Lemmas 7 and 8 immediately give the required lower bound in the remaining cases. ■

Lemmas for Theorem 4

For Theorem 4 we assume that (1.4) gives the nearest integer expansion for α. We again break the proof down into manageable lemmas:

Lemma 9. *Suppose that (1.4) gives the nearest integer expansion of α. If $E_0 \geq F_0 \geq \theta_2$ then γ_* satisfies*

$$\frac{F_0 - \alpha_{i+1} + |d_{i+1}^+|}{E_0 - \alpha_{i+1}} \geq \frac{F_0 - \theta_2}{E_0 - \theta_2}$$

with equality iff $\varepsilon_{i+j+2}a_{i+j+1} = -R_{**}$ for all $j \geq 1$.

Proof. Suppose that for some $J \geq 0$ we have $(a_{i+j+1}, \varepsilon_{i+j+2}) = (R_{**}, -1)$ for any $1 \leq j \leq J$. Then

$$Q := \frac{F_0 - \alpha_{i+1} + |d_{i+1}^+|}{E_0 - \alpha_{i+1}} = \frac{F_J - F_{J-1}\alpha_{i+1+J} + |d_{i+1+J}^+|}{E_J - E_{J-1}\alpha_{i+1+J}}$$

with

$$F_j := A\theta_2^{-j} + B\theta_2^j, \quad E_j := C\theta_2^{-j} + D\theta_2^j,$$

where, writing $\Delta := \sqrt{R_{**}^2 - 4}$,

$$A = \frac{1}{\Delta}(F_0/\theta_2 - 1), \quad B = \frac{1}{\Delta}(1 - F_0\theta_2),$$

$$C = \frac{1}{\Delta}(E_0/\theta_2 - 1), \quad D = \frac{1}{\Delta}(1 - E_0\theta_2).$$

Now as $J \to \infty$ we have $Q \to A/C$. Hence we assume that $(a_{i+J+2}, \varepsilon_{i+J+3}) \neq (R_{**}, -1)$. Observe that

$$\frac{F_J - (F_{J-1} - \kappa)\alpha}{E_J - E_{J-1}\alpha} > \frac{A}{C}$$

if and only if

$$w := (E_0 - F_0)\theta_2^J(\theta_2 - \alpha) + (E_0 - \theta_2)\kappa\alpha > 0.$$

Now if $a_{J+i+2} > R_{**}$ or $a_{i+J+2} = R_{**}$ and $\varepsilon_{i+J+3} = 1$ then $\alpha_{i+J+1} < \theta_2$ and $\kappa = 0$ gives $w > 0$ in all three cases. If $a_{J+i+2} = R_*$ then $|d_{i+J+1}^+| \geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{i+J+1}$ gives $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ and $w > 0$ since $E_0 - F_0 < E_0 - \theta_2$ and $(\alpha_{i+J+1} - \theta_2) < \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{i+J+1}$. Hence $Q \geq A/C$ with equality iff $J = \infty$. ■

Lemma 10. *With R_3, θ_2 as in (4.1) and (3.2) define*

$$S_1 := \frac{1 - 2/R_3}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_i/R_3}, \quad S_2 := \frac{1 - \theta_2}{1 - \theta_2\bar{\alpha}_i}, \quad S_3 := \frac{1 - 2/R_3}{1 + \bar{\alpha}_i/R_3},$$

and for γ_* set

$$B_1 := \frac{1 + \varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i \pm d_i^+}{1 + \varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i}, \quad B_2 := \frac{1 - \varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i \pm d_i^+}{1 + \varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i}.$$

If (1.4) is the nearest integer expansion then B_1 and B_2 satisfy

$$B_1 \geq \begin{cases} S_1, & \text{if } \pm d_i^+ \leq 0, \\ S_2, & \text{if } \pm d_i^+ > 0, \end{cases} \quad B_2 \geq S_3.$$

Moreover $B_i \to S_1$ if

$$\varepsilon_{i+1}a_{i+1}, \varepsilon_{i+2}a_{i+2}, \varepsilon_{i+3}a_{i+3}, ... = \begin{cases} -R_*, (-R_{**},)^k ..., & \text{if } R \geq 3, \\ -3, -2, A, ..., & \text{if } R = 2, \end{cases}$$

as k or $A \to \infty$.

Proof. Suppose first that $\pm d_i^+ \le 0$. If $\varepsilon_{i+1} = 1$ then

$$B_1 \ge \frac{1}{1+\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i} \ge \frac{1}{1+\bar{\alpha}_i} > S_1.$$

If $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$ and a_{i+1} is even then

$$B_1 \ge \frac{1-(1+1/R_1)/R_2}{1-\bar{\alpha}_i/R_2} > S_1.$$

If $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$ and a_{i+1} is odd then

$$B_1 = \frac{1-2\alpha_i+\alpha_i|d_{i+1}^+|}{1-\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i}$$

and when R is even or $\varepsilon_{i+2} = 1$ or $a_{i+1} > R_*$ the bound $B_1 \ge S_1$ follows from $\alpha_i \le 1/R_3$. So we can assume that $a_{i+1} = R_* = R$, $\varepsilon_{i+2} = -1$ and by Lemma 9

$$B_1 = \frac{(R_*-2)-\alpha_{i+1}+|d_{i+1}^+|}{(R_*-\bar{\alpha}_i)-\alpha_{i+1}} \ge S_1,$$

with $B_1 \to S_1$ in the cases claimed.

Similarly when $\pm d_i^- > 0$ we have $B_1 \ge (1+\alpha_i)/(1+\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i) > 1$ if $\varepsilon_{i+1} = 1$ with

$$B_1 \ge (1-\alpha_i)/(1-\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i) > S_2$$

if $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$ and R is even or $a_{i+1} > R_{**}$ or $a_{i+1} = R_{**}$ and $\varepsilon_{i+2} = 1$. For $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$, $R = R_*$ and a_{i+1} odd we have

$$B_1 \ge \frac{1-\alpha_i/R_1}{1-\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i} > \frac{1-1/R_1^2}{1-\bar{\alpha}_i/R_1} > S_2.$$

Thus we may assume when $\pm d_i^+ > 0$ that $a_{i+1} = R_{**} = R+1$, $\varepsilon_{i+2} = -1$ and by Lemma 9

$$B_1 = \frac{(R_{**}-1)-\alpha_{i+1}+|d_{i+1}^+|}{(R_{**}-\bar{\alpha}_i)-\alpha_{i+1}} \ge S_2.$$

Similarly for B_2 we have $B_2 \ge 1$ if $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$. If $\varepsilon_{i+1} = 1$ then

$$B_2 \ge \frac{1-\alpha_i(1+1/R_1)}{1+\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i} \ge \frac{1-(1+1/R_1)/R_2}{1+\bar{\alpha}_i/R_2} > S_3$$

when a_{i+1} is even, with

$$B_2 \geq \frac{1-2\alpha_i+\alpha_i|d_{i+1}^+|}{1+\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i}$$

when a_{i+1} is odd, with $\alpha_i < 1/R_3$ giving $B_2 \geq S_3$ unless R is odd, $a_{i+1} = R_*$, $\varepsilon_{i+2} = -1$ in which case by Lemma 9

$$B_2 = \frac{(R_*-2)-\alpha_{i+1}+|d_{i+1}^+|}{(R_*+\bar{\alpha}_i)-\alpha_{i+1}} \geq S_3. \blacksquare$$

Lemma 11. *Suppose that (1.4) is the nearest integer expansion and define* $d := \max\{4, R_{**}\}$. *For* (A_0, B_0, δ_0) *equal to*

(i) $(d-1, d-1/R_3, 1)$,

(ii) $(R_*-2, R_*-\theta_2, 1)$,

(iii) $(R_*, R_*+1/R_3, -1)$,

define

$$H := \frac{(A_0+\theta_1)-(1+\theta_1)/R_*}{B_0+\theta_1}.$$

Then for γ_* *when* $\pm\delta_0 d_{i-1}^+ \leq 0$ *we have*

$$\frac{A_0+\varepsilon_i\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}\mp\delta_0\varepsilon_i d_{i-1}^-}{B_0+\varepsilon_i\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}} \geq \begin{cases} \min\{H, 4M_1/(1-\theta_2)\}, & \text{in case (ii) when } R\leq 4,\\ H, & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

with the expression tending to H *if* $\varepsilon_{j+1}a_j = +R_*$ *for all* $j < i$ *as* $i \to \infty$.

Proof. Assume that for some $J \geq 0$ we have $(a_{i-j}, \varepsilon_{i-j+1}) = (R_*, 1)$ for any $1 \leq j \leq J$. Then we can write

$$T := \frac{A_0+\varepsilon_i\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}\mp\delta_0\varepsilon_i d_{i-1}^-}{B_0+\varepsilon_i\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}} = \frac{A_J+A_{J-1}\varepsilon_{i-J}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1}\pm(-1)^{J+1}\delta_0\varepsilon_{i-J}d_{i-J-1}^-}{B_J+B_{J-1}\varepsilon_{i-J}\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1}},$$

where

$$A_j := A\alpha^j + B\beta^j + 1/R_*, \quad B_j := C\alpha^j + D\beta^j,$$

with $\alpha := \theta_1^{-1}$, $\beta := -\theta_1$, and, writing $\Delta' := \sqrt{R_*^2+4}$,

$$A := ((A_0-\beta)-(1-\beta)/R_*)\frac{\alpha}{\Delta'}, \quad B := -((A_0-\alpha)-(1-\alpha)/R_*)\frac{\beta}{\Delta'},$$

$$C := (B_0 - \beta)\frac{\alpha}{\Delta'}, \quad D := -(B_0 - \alpha)\frac{\beta}{\Delta'}.$$

As $J \to \infty$ we have $T \to A/C = H$. So we assume that $(a_{i-J-1}, \varepsilon_{i-J}) \neq (R_*, 1)$. We observe that

$$T' = \frac{A_J + (A_{J-1} - \kappa)\varepsilon\bar{\alpha}}{B_J + B_{J-1}\varepsilon\bar{\alpha}} > \frac{A}{C}$$

if and only if

$$w := (R_*(A_0 - B_0) + (B_0 - 1))\beta^J(\theta_1 - \varepsilon\bar{\alpha}) + (\theta_1 + B_0)(1 + \varepsilon\bar{\alpha}(1 - R_*\kappa)) > 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Now if $\varepsilon_{i-J} = 1$ and a_{i-J-1} is even then $\pm(-1)^{J+1}\delta_0 d^-_{i-J-1} \geq -\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1}\theta_1/(1-\theta_1)$ and we obtain $T > T'$ with

$$\varepsilon = 1, \quad \kappa = \theta_1/(1-\theta_1), \quad \bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1} \leq 1/(R_{**} - \theta). \tag{4.5}$$

If $\varepsilon_{i-J} = 1$ and $a_{i-J-1} > R_*$ is odd then $\pm(-1)^{J+1}\delta_0 d^-_{i-J-1} \geq -(1+\theta_1/(1-\theta_1))\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1}$ and we obtain T' with

$$\varepsilon = 1, \quad \kappa = 1/(1-\theta_1), \quad \bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1} \leq \left(R_* + \frac{3}{2}\right)^{-1}. \tag{4.6}$$

If $\varepsilon_{i-J} = -1$ and a_{i-J-1} is odd then $\pm(-1)^{J+1}\delta_0\varepsilon_{i-J}d^-_{i-J-1} \geq (1-\theta_1/(1-\theta_1))\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1}$ and we obtain T' with

$$\varepsilon = -1, \quad \kappa = 1 - \theta_1/(1-\theta_1), \quad \bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1} \leq \left(R_* - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}. \tag{4.7}$$

If $\varepsilon_{i-J} = -1$ and $a_{i-J-1} \geq 4$ is even then $\pm(-1)^{J+1}\delta_0\varepsilon_{i-J}d^-_{i-J-1} \geq -\theta_1/(1-\theta_1)\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1}$ and we obtain T' with

$$\varepsilon = -1, \quad \kappa = -\theta_1/(1-\theta_1), \quad \bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1} \leq \left(a_{i-J-1} - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}. \tag{4.8}$$

Now since

$$\frac{|R_*(A_0 - B_0) + B_0 - 1|}{(\theta_1 + B_0)} \leq \frac{(R_* + 1) - (R_* - 1)\theta_2}{(R_* + \theta_1 - \theta_2)}$$

for the three choices of (A_0, B_0) we will certainly have $w > 0$ in all cases where

$$w_1 := |\theta_1 - \varepsilon\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1}|\theta_1^J((R_* + 1) - (R_* - 1)\theta_2) \tag{4.9}$$

$$\leq w_2 := (R_* + \theta_1 - \theta_2)(1 + \varepsilon\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1}(1 - R_*\kappa)).$$

Now substituting $\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1} = 0$ we have

$$w_1 \leq \theta_1(R_* + 1) < \frac{1}{3}(R_* + 1) < R_* - \frac{1}{2} \leq w_2$$

so for (4.5)–(4.8) it will be enough to check that (4.9) holds for the largest value of $\bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1}$. For (4.5) we have

$$w_1 < \frac{1}{2}(R_* + 1 - 2\theta_2) \leq \frac{2}{3}(R_* - \theta_2) \leq (R_* + \theta_1 - \theta_2)(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1}\theta_1) = w_2.$$

For (4.6) we have

$$w_1 = \theta_1^{J+1}\bar{\alpha}(3/2 - \theta_1)(R_* + 1 - (R_* - 1)\theta_2) \leq \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}\,(3/2 - \theta_1)\,(R_* + 1 - 2\theta_2),$$

while $w_2 = \bar{\alpha}(3/2 - \theta_1)(R_* + \theta_1 - \theta_2)$. For (4.7)

$$w_1 \leq \frac{4}{5}(R_* + 1 - 2\theta_2) \leq \frac{16}{15}(R_* - \theta_2),$$

while

$$w_2 = \left(2 - \frac{(3/2 + \theta_1)}{(R_* - 1/2)}\right)(R_* + \theta_1 - \theta_2) \geq \frac{19}{15}(R_* - \theta_2).$$

For (4.8) when $a_{i-J-1} \geq 6$ we have

$$w_1 \leq \frac{2}{11}\left(1 + \frac{11}{2}\theta_1\right)(R_* + 1 - (R_* - 1)\theta_2),$$

$$w_2 = (1 - \bar{\alpha}_{i-J-1}(2 + \theta_1))(R_* + \theta_1 - \theta_2) \geq \frac{2}{11}\left(\frac{7}{2} - \theta_1\right)(R_* + \theta_1 - \theta_2),$$

and $w > 0$ (using $w_1 \leq \frac{2}{5}(R_* + 1 - 4\theta_2)$ and $w_2 \geq \frac{3}{5}(R_* - \theta_2)$ when $R_* \geq 5$ and checking numerically when $R_* = 3$). If $J \geq 1$ and $a_{i-J-1} = 4$ then $w_1 \leq \frac{1}{5}(R_* + 1 - 2\theta_2)$ and $w_2 \geq \frac{2}{7}(R_* - \theta_2)$ gives the required result. One readily checks numerically that $w > 0$ still holds for $J = 0$, $a_{i-1} = 4$, $R = 2, 3, 4$ in case (i) and (iii). Hence it remains only to deal with case (ii) when $a_{i-1} = 4$, $\varepsilon_i = -1$ (the minimum occuring in the other cases by letting $J \to \infty$). When $R = 2, 3$ and $a_{i-1} = 4$, $\varepsilon_i = -1$ we obtain

$$T = \frac{1}{3 - \theta_2}\left(\frac{3 + \varepsilon_{i-1}\bar{\alpha}_{i-2} \mp \varepsilon_{i-1}d_{i-2}^-}{(4 - 1/R_3) + \varepsilon_{i-1}\bar{\alpha}_{i-2}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{3 - \theta_2}\left(\frac{3 + \theta_1 - (1 + \theta_1)/3}{4 - 1/R_3 + \theta_1}\right) = \frac{4M_1}{1 - \theta_2}$$

using case (i). For $R = 4$ and $\varepsilon_i = -1$, $a_{i-1} = 4$ we have

$$T = \frac{1}{5-\theta_2}\left(\frac{11 + 3\varepsilon_{i-1}\bar{\alpha}_{i-2} \mp \varepsilon_{i-1}d^-_{i-2}}{(4 - 1/R_3) + \varepsilon_{i-1}\bar{\alpha}_{i-2}}\right)$$

and the estimates $\mp\varepsilon_{i-1}d^-_{i-2} \geq -\frac{5}{4}\bar{\alpha}_{i-2}$ if $\varepsilon_{i-1} = 1$ and $\mp\varepsilon_{i-1}d^-_{i-2} \geq -\frac{1}{4}\bar{\alpha}_{i-2}$ if $\varepsilon_{i-1} = -1$ (since $\pm d^-_{i-2} \geq \frac{3}{4}\bar{\alpha}_{i-2}$ if a_{i-2} is odd) with $\bar{\alpha}_{i-2} < 2/7$ are enough to give

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4}(1-\theta_2)T &\geq \frac{1}{4}\frac{(1-\theta_2)}{(5-\theta_2)}\min\left\{\frac{11 + \frac{7}{4}\bar{\alpha}_{i-2}}{(4-1/R_3) + \bar{\alpha}_{i-2}}, \frac{11 - \frac{13}{4}\bar{\alpha}_{i-2}}{(4-1/R_3) - \bar{\alpha}_{i-2}}\right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{9.160...} > M_1 = \frac{1}{9.337...}. \quad \blacksquare\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 12. *With θ_1, θ_2, M_1 and R_3 as in (3.2), (3.3) and (4.1), define $d := \max\{4, R_{**}\}$, and*

$$U_1 := \frac{1 - \frac{1}{(d+\theta_1)}\left(1 + \frac{\theta_1}{1-\theta_1}\right)}{1 - \frac{1}{R_3(d+\theta_1)}}, \quad U_2 := \frac{1 - \theta_1 - \frac{\theta_1}{1-\theta_1}}{1 - \theta_1\theta_2}, \quad U_3 := \frac{1 - \theta_1^2/(1-\theta_1)}{1 + \theta_1/R_3}.$$

For γ_* set

$$T_1 := \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_i \pm d^-_i}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_i/R_3}, \quad T_2 := \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_i \pm d^-_i}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_i\theta_2}, \quad T_3 := \frac{1 + \bar{\alpha}_i \mp d^-_i}{1 + \bar{\alpha}_i/R_3}.$$

Suppose that (1.4) is the nearest integer expansion. Then $T_3 \geq U_3$.

When $\pm d^+_i \leq 0$ and $a_i \geq 3$ we have $T_1 \geq U_1$, with $T_1 \to U_1$ for $\varepsilon_i a_i, \varepsilon_{i-1}a_{i-1}, \varepsilon_{i-2}a_{i-2}, ... = d, (R_*,)^k...$ as $k \to \infty$.

When $\pm d^+_i \geq 0$ and $a_i \geq 3$ we have

$$T_2 \geq \begin{cases} U_2, & \text{if } R \geq 5, \\ \min\{U_2, 4M_1/(1-\theta_2)\}, & \text{if } R \leq 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\pm d^+_i < 0$ and $a_i \geq 3$. If a_i is odd then $\pm d^-_i \geq (1 - \theta_1/(1-\theta_1))\bar{\alpha}_i$ and

$$T_1 \geq \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_i/R_3} \geq \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}1/R_1}{1 - 1/(R_3R_1)} > U_1,$$

and if $a_i > d + 2$ is even then $\bar{\alpha}_i \leq 1/(d + 2 - \theta)$ gives

$$T_1 \geq \frac{1 - (1 + \theta_1/(1-\theta_1))\bar{\alpha}_i}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_i/R_3} > U_1.$$

So we assume that $a_i = d$ and hence from Lemma 11

$$T_1 = \frac{(d-1) + \varepsilon_i \bar{\alpha}_{i-1} \mp \varepsilon_i d_{i-1}^-}{(d - 1/R_3) + \varepsilon_i \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}} \geq U_1.$$

Similarly if $\pm d_i^+ > 0$ and $a_i \geq 4$ is even then

$$T_2 \geq \frac{1 - (1 + \theta_1/(1-\theta_1))\bar{\alpha}_i}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_i \theta_2} \geq \frac{1 - 1/((1-\theta_1)R_4)}{1 - \theta_2/R_4} > U_2,$$

and if $a_i > R_*$ is odd then $\bar{\alpha}_i < \theta_1$ and

$$T_2 > \frac{1 - (2 + \theta_1/(1-\theta_1))\bar{\alpha}_i}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_i \theta_2} > U_2.$$

So we may assume that $a_i = R_*$ and from Lemma 11

$$T_2 = \frac{(R_* - 2) + \varepsilon_i \bar{\alpha}_{i-1} \mp \varepsilon_i d_{i-1}^-}{(R_* - \theta_2) + \varepsilon_i \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}} \geq \min\{U_2, 4M_1/(1-\theta_2).$$

Likewise for T_3 observe that if a_i is even or $\mp d_i^- > 0$ then $T_3 > (1 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_i)/(1 + \bar{\alpha}_i/R_3) > 1$ and if $a_i > R_*$ is odd then $\bar{\alpha}_i < \theta_1$ and $T_3 > (1 - \bar{\alpha}_i\theta_1/(1-\theta_1))/(1 + \bar{\alpha}_i/R_3) > S_3$. So we assume that $a_i = R_*$ with $\mp d_i^- < 0$ and by Lemma 11

$$T_3 = \frac{R_* + \varepsilon_i \bar{\alpha}_{i-1} \pm \varepsilon_i d_{i-1}^-}{(R_* + 1/R_3) + \varepsilon_i \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}} \geq U_3. \blacksquare$$

Proof of Theorem 4

We assume that we are working with the nearest integer expansion and show that the $s_1(i), ..., s_4(i)$ of (2.17) are always greater than the claimed bound M_1.

Now from Lemma 10 and Lemma 12

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4}(1 + \bar{\alpha}_i \mp d_i^-)\frac{(1 - \varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i \pm d_i^+)}{(1 + \varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i)} &\geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{2}{R_3}\right)\frac{(1 + \bar{\alpha}_i \mp d_i^-)}{(1 + \bar{\alpha}_i/R_3)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{2}{R_3}\right)\frac{\left(1 - \frac{\theta_1^2}{1-\theta_1}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{\theta_1}{R_3}\right)}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly if $\pm d_i^+ \leq 0$ and $a_i \geq 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4}(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i \pm d_i^-)\frac{(1 + \varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i \pm d_i^+)}{(1 + \varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i)} &\geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{2}{R_3}\right)\frac{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i \pm d_i^-)}{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i/R_3)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{2}{R_3}\right)\frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{(1-\theta_1)(\max\{4, R_{**}\}+\theta_1)}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{R_3(\max\{4, R_{**}\}+\theta_1)}\right)} = M_1,\end{aligned}$$

with asymptotic equality when $..., \varepsilon_i a_i, |\varepsilon_{i+1}a_{i+1}, ... = ..., (R_*,)^k, R_{**}, |-R_*, (-R_{**},)^k, ...$ when $R \geq 3$ and $..., (3,)^k 4, | - 3, -2, A, ...$ if $R = 2$, as k (and A) $\to \infty$.

If $\pm d_i^+ > 0$ and $a_i \geq 3$, then

$$\frac{1}{4}(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i \pm d_i^-)\frac{(1 + \varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i \pm d_i^+)}{(1 + \varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i)} \geq \frac{1}{4}(1 - \theta_2)\frac{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i \pm d_i^-)}{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i\theta_2)}$$
$$\geq \begin{cases} M_2, & \text{if } R \geq 5, \\ \min\{M_1, M_2\}, & \text{if } R \leq 4. \end{cases}$$

with

$$M_2 := \frac{1}{4}\frac{(1 - \theta_2)}{(1 - \theta_1\theta_2)}\left(1 - \theta_1 - \frac{1}{R_*}(1 + \theta_1)\right).$$

The minimum of these bounds is M_1.

Hence it remains only to check $s_1(i), s_3(i)$ when $a_i = 2$. If $a_i = 2$ and $\varepsilon_i = -1$ then

$$s_1(i) = s_1(i - 1), \quad s_3(i) = s_3(i - 1), \quad a_{i-1} \geq 3.$$

If $a_i = 2$ and $\varepsilon_{i+1} = \varepsilon_i = 1$ we have $\frac{(1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\alpha_i \pm d_i^+)}{1+\varepsilon_{i+1}\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i} \geq (1 + \frac{2}{5}\bar{\alpha}_i)^{-1}$ and $\pm d_{i-1}^- \geq -\frac{3}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}$, $\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} \leq (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$ giving

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}\frac{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i \mp \bar{\alpha}_i d_{i-1}^-)}{\left(1 + \frac{2}{5}\bar{\alpha}_i\right)} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{(1 + \bar{\alpha}_{i-1} \mp d_{i-1}^-)}{\left(\frac{12}{5} + \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}\right)} \geq \frac{1}{4}\frac{(1 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})}{\left(\frac{12}{5} + \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}\right)} \geq \frac{1}{17.5}. \blacksquare$$

Lemmas for Theorem 5

For Theorem 5 we assume that the nearest integer expansion has $\varepsilon_i = 1$ for all i.

Lemma 13. *If (1.4) is a nearest integer expansion with all $\varepsilon_i = 1$ then (3.6) gives a valid expansion for γ_{**}, with all i in $S(\gamma_{**})$ and*

$$0 < d_i^+ \leq \frac{1}{R_*} + \frac{1}{R_*^2}, \quad |d_i^-| \leq \frac{1}{R_*}.$$

Proof: We suppose that $\varepsilon_i = 1$ for all i. Plainly $c_i = \frac{1}{2}(a_i + \lambda_i') < a_i$ and expansion (3.6) is a valid alpha-expansion. Suppose that a_{i+J} is odd for some $J \geq 1$, with a_{i+j} even for any $1 \leq i < J$, then, since trivially $\lambda_i' \leq a_i/R_*$,

$$0 \leq d_i^+ D_{i-1} \leq \frac{1}{R_*}\sum_{j \geq i+J} a_j D_{j-1} = \frac{1}{R_*}D_{i+J-2}(1 + \alpha_{i+J-1}) \leq \frac{1}{R_*}\left(1 + \frac{1}{R_*}\right)D_{i-1},$$

with $d_i^+ = 0$ if all a_j, $j > i$, are even. Similarly

$$-\frac{1}{R_*}q_{i-1} \leq -\frac{1}{R_*}\sum_{j \geq 0} a_{i-1-2j}q_{i-2j-2} \leq q_i d_i^- \leq \frac{1}{R_*}\sum_{j \geq 0} a_{i-2j}q_{i-2j-1} \leq \frac{1}{R_*}q_i.$$

Plainly $|d_i^{\pm}| < \frac{1}{2} \leq 1 - \bar{\alpha}_i, 1 - \alpha_i$ so i is in $S(\gamma_{**})$ for all i. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 14. *Suppose that the nearest integer expansion (1.4) is also a regular expansion. Then for* γ_{**}

$$L := \frac{(1+\alpha_i - |d_i^+|)}{1+\alpha_i/R_*} \geq 1 - 2\theta_1^2 - \theta_1^3,$$

with equality if $a_j = R_*$ *for all* $j > i$.

Proof. Suppose that for some $J \geq 0$ we have $a_{i+j} = R^*$ for any $0 < j \leq J$ and $a_{i+J+1} \neq R_*$. Then

$$L = \frac{A_J + A_{J-1}\alpha_{i+J} - |d_{i+J}^+|}{C_J + C_{J-1}\alpha_{i+J}}$$

where

$$A_J = A\theta_1^{-J} - B(-\theta_1)^J + \frac{1}{R_*}, \quad C_J = C\theta_1^{-J} - D(-\theta_1)^J,$$

with

$$A = \left(1 - \frac{1}{R_*}\right)\frac{1+\theta_1^{-1}}{\sqrt{R_*^2+4}}, \quad B = \left(1 - \frac{1}{R_*}\right)\frac{1-\theta_1}{\sqrt{R_*^2+4}},$$

$$C = \frac{1/R_* + 1/\theta_1}{\sqrt{R_*^2+4}}, \quad D = \frac{1/R_* - \theta_1}{\sqrt{R_*^2+4}},$$

with $L \to A/C$, as $J \to \infty$. Now

$$\frac{A_J + (A_{J-1} - \kappa)\alpha}{C_J + C_{J-1}\alpha} > A/C$$

if and only if

$$w_1 := (1 + \alpha(1 - \kappa R_*) > w_2 := (R_* - 1)^2(-\theta_1)^{J+1}(\alpha - \theta_1).$$

This is plainly true when $\alpha = 0$ so we need only examine the largest appropriate value of α_{i+J}. Now if $a_{i+J+1} \geq R_* + 2$ is odd then $|d_{i+J}^+| = \alpha_{i+J}(1 + |d_{i+J+1}^+|)$ with $\alpha_{i+J} < 1/(R_*+2)$ and taking

$$\kappa = 1 + \frac{1}{R_*} + \frac{1}{R_*^2}, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{R_*+2},$$

we have

$$|w_2| = \frac{\theta_1^{2+J}}{R_*+2}(2-\theta_1)(R_*-1)^2 < \frac{2\,(1-1/R_*)^2}{R_*+2} < \frac{2-1/R_*}{R_*+2} = w_1.$$

Similarly when a_{i+J+1} is even we have $|d^+_{i+J}| = \alpha_{i+J}|d^+_{i+J+1}|$ and taking

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{R_*} + \frac{1}{R_*^2}, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{R_{**}},$$

gives

$$|w_2| < \theta_1^2(R_* - 1)^2 < \left(1 - \frac{1}{R_*}\right)^2 < \left(1 - \frac{1}{R_* R_{**}}\right) = w_1.$$

Hence in all cases $L \geq A/C$. ■

Proof of Theorem 5

We again need only show the desired lower bound for the $s_1(i), ..., s_4(i)$ of (2.17).

We first deal with $s_2(i)$ and $s_4(i)$:

Suppose first that a_i is even, or a_i odd and $\mp d_i^- \geq 0$. Then

$$\frac{(1 + \bar\alpha_i \mp d_i^-)}{1 + \bar\alpha_i \alpha_i} \geq \frac{1 + \bar\alpha_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{R_*}\right)}{1 + \bar\alpha_i \alpha_i} > 1.$$

Now except when $a_{i+1} = 3$ and $\pm d_i^+ \leq 0$ we have

$$1 - \alpha_i \pm d_i^+ \geq \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{R_{**}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{R_*} + \frac{1}{R_*^2}\right), & \text{if } a_{i+1} \text{ is even,} \\ 1 - \frac{1}{\max\{R_*, 5\}} - \left(\frac{1}{R_*} + \frac{1}{R_*^2}\right), & \text{if } a_{i+1} \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

giving

$$(4.10)\quad s_2(i), s_4(i) = \frac{1}{4}\frac{(1 + \bar\alpha_i \mp d_i^-)}{(1 + \bar\alpha_i \alpha_i)}(1 - \alpha_i \pm d_i^+) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{2}{R_*} - \frac{1}{R_*^2}\right), & \text{if } R_* \geq 5, \\ \frac{1}{11.25}, & \text{if } R_* = 3. \end{cases}$$

If $a_{i+1} = 3$ and $\pm d_i^+ \leq 0$ then $s_2(i), s_4(i) = s_1(i+1), s_3(i+1)$ (to be dealt with below).

Suppose now that a_i is odd and $\mp d_i^- \leq 0$. Then $\pm d_i^+ \geq 0$ with $\pm d_i^+ = \alpha_i + \alpha_i |d^+_{i+1}|$ if a_{i+1} is odd and

$$\frac{1 - \alpha_i \pm d_i^+}{1 + \bar\alpha_i \alpha_i} \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1 - 1/R_{**}}{1 + \bar\alpha_i / R_{**}}, & \text{if } a_{i+1} \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{1 + \bar\alpha_i / R_*}, & \text{if } a_{i+1} \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

while $\mp d_i^- = -\bar\alpha_i \pm \bar\alpha_i d_{i-1}^-$ gives

$$\frac{1 + \bar\alpha_i - |d_i^-|}{1 + \bar\alpha_i / R_{**}} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{R_*^2}\right) / \left(1 + \frac{1}{R_* R_{**}}\right),$$

and

$$s_2(i), s_4(i) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{R_{**}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{R_*^2}\right)/\left(1+\frac{1}{R_*R_{**}}\right),$$

a bound larger than (4.10).

It remains to deal with $s_1(i)$, $s_3(i)$.

If a_i is odd then $|d_i^-| \leq 1/R_*$ gives

$$\frac{1-\bar{\alpha}_i \pm d_i^-}{1+\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i} > \frac{1-2/R_*}{1+\alpha_i/R_*}.$$

If a_i is even then $|d_i^-| = \bar{\alpha}_i|d_{i-1}^-| \leq \bar{\alpha}_i/R_*$ gives

$$\frac{1-\bar{\alpha}_i \pm d_i^-}{1+\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i} \geq \frac{1-\frac{1}{a_i}\left(1+\frac{1}{R_*}\right)}{1+\alpha_i/a_i} > \frac{1-2/R_*}{1+\alpha_i/R_*},$$

as long as $a_i \geq 4$. If $a_i = 2$ the bound $\frac{1}{3}(1+\frac{1}{3}\alpha_i)^{-1}$ still holds, the improved estimates $\bar{\alpha}_i \leq (2+\frac{1}{6})^{-1}$ if $a_{i-1} \leq 5$ and $|d_{i-i}^-| \leq \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}(1+|d_{i-2}^-|) \leq \frac{4}{3}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1} \leq \frac{2}{9}$ if $a_{i-1} \geq 6$ giving $\frac{5}{13}(1+\frac{6}{13}\alpha_i)^{-1}$ and $\frac{7}{18}(1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i)^{-1}$ respectively. Hence, applying Lemma 14,

$$s_1(i), s_3(i) = \frac{1}{4}\frac{(1-\bar{\alpha}_i \pm d_i^-)}{(1+\bar{\alpha}_i\alpha_i)}(1+\alpha_i \pm d_i^+) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{2}{R_*}\right)L \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{2}{R_*}\right)(1-2\theta_1^2-\theta_1^3).$$

It is readily checked that this is smaller than (4.10), giving the lower bound claimed. ■

Proof of Theorem 6

Suppose that α has period $R_{**}, R_*, R_*, R_*, R_*$, and that γ achieves $\rho(\alpha)$. From Theorem 7 we can assume that $t_k = \pm 1$ if $a_k = R_*$ and $t_k = 0, \pm 2$ if $a_k = R_{**}$. We first rule out $t_k = \pm 2$ (this is immediate from (3.16) if R is even as observed in (3.17)). Suppose that $a_k = R_{**}$ and $t_k = 2$. If $t_{k+1} = 1$ then

$$s_3(k) = \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{3}{R_{**}}+O(\frac{1}{R^2})\right)\frac{\left(1+O(R^{-2})\right)}{(1+O(R^{-2}))} = \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{3}{R_*}+O(R^{-2})\right),$$

and if $t_{k+1} = -1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} s_2(k) &= \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{R_{**}}+O(R^{-2})\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{R_*}+O(R^{-2})\right)/(1+O(R^{-2})) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{3}{R_*}+O(R^{-2})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $t_k = -2$ is dealt with using $s_1(k)$ and $s_4(k)$. So we assume that $t_k = 0$ if $a_k = R_{**}$. Suppose that $a_k = R_{**}$ and set $\vec{t} = (t_k, t_{k+1}, ..., t_{k+5})$. If $\vec{t} = (0, 1, 1, 1, *, 0)$ then

$$s_3(k+1) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{2}{R_*} + O(R^{-3})\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{R_*^2} + O(R^{-3})\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{R_*^2} + O(R^{-3})\right)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{2}{R_*} - \frac{2}{R_*^2} + O(R_*^{-3})\right).$$

If $\vec{t} = (0, *, -1, 1, -1, 0)$ then we obtain the same expression for $s_2(k+3)$. If $\vec{t} = (0, 1, -1, -1, *, 0)$ then

$$s_2(k+1) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{2}{R_*} - \frac{1}{R_*^2} + O(R^{-3})\right)\left(1 + O(R^{-3})\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{R_*^2} + O(R^{-3})\right)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{2}{R_*} - \frac{2}{R_*^2} + O(R_*^{-3})\right).$$

Similarly for $\vec{t} = (0, *, -1, 1, 1, 0)$ using $s_3(k+3)$. We can also immediately dispose of $-\vec{t}$ of these forms (by observing that changing the signs of the t_k merely interchanging the roles of s_1 and s_3, and s_2 and s_4). Since this includes all possible choices of $\vec{t}$ we can do no better than (3.10), this being achievable with γ_{**}. ■

Proof of Theorem 7

Suppose that $R \geq 4$ and that γ has

$$M(\alpha, \gamma) > \frac{R-3}{R-2\theta}.$$

Noting that

$$\frac{1}{a_j + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j + \varepsilon_{j-1}\bar{\alpha}_{j-1}} \leq \frac{1}{R-2\theta},$$

we can assume from (2.19) that i is in $S(\gamma)$ for almost all i. Hence from (2.18) we have

$$M(\alpha, \gamma) \leq \frac{1}{4} \liminf_{i\to\infty} \frac{(a_i - |t_i|)}{(a_i + \varepsilon_i \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{i-1}\bar{\alpha}_{i-1})} \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\liminf_{i\to\infty}(1 - |t_i|/a_i)}{(1 - 2\theta/R)},$$

and we must have $|t_i| < 3a_i/R$ for all but finitely many i. For the regular continued fraction we have $M(\alpha, \gamma_{**}) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - 2/(R_* - 1) + 4/R_*^3\right)$ for $R \geq 4$, and the second bound follows similarly. ■

5. Lower bound problems for quadratic forms

For a real indefinite form

$$f(x,y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 = a(x-\alpha y)(x-\bar{\alpha}y) \in \mathbf{R}[x,y], \tag{6.1}$$

with

$$D(f) := b^2 - 4ac > 0, \tag{6.2}$$

and a point $P = (r,s)$ in $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \mathbf{Z}^2$ one defines

$$\begin{aligned} M(f,P) &:= \inf_{(x,y)\in\mathbf{Z}^2} |f(x+r,y+s)|, \\ M^*(f,P) &:= \liminf_{\substack{(x,y)\in\mathbf{Z}^2 \\ \max\{|x|,|y|\}\to\infty}} |f(x+r,y+s)|. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

The *inhomogeneous minima* is then

$$M(f) := \sup_P M(f,P). \tag{6.4}$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$M^*(f,P) = \sqrt{D(f)}\min\{M(\alpha,\gamma_P), M(\bar{\alpha},\bar{\gamma}_P)\}, \quad \gamma_P = r - s\alpha, \;\; \bar{\gamma}_P = r - s\bar{\alpha}. \tag{6.5}$$

Hence, defining a related constant $M^*(f) \geq M(f)$ by

$$M^*(f) := \sup_P M^*(f,P), \tag{6.6}$$

a bound of the form

$$M^*(f) \geq C_0\sqrt{D(f)} \tag{6.7}$$

for all forms f, is equivalent to (1.24). Bound (6.7) certainly follows from the bound

$$M(f) \geq C_0\sqrt{D(f)} \tag{6.8}$$

actually proved by Davenport and Ennola. We should note that in the case of historical interest the f are irreducible forms in $\mathbf{Z}[x,y]$ and one has $M(f)/\sqrt{D(f)} = \rho(\alpha)$. Bounds of the form (6.8) originally rose out of the study of norm-Euclidean real quadratic fields (see Barnes [1] for details).

References

[**1**] E. S. Barnes & H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *The inhomogeneous minima of binary quadratic forms. I*, Acta. Math. **87** (1952), 259–323.

[**2**] E. S. Barnes, *On linear diophantine approximation I*, J. London Math. Soc. **31** (1956), 73–79.

[**3**] W. Bosma, *Optimal continued fractions*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Proc. Ser. A **90** (1987), 353–379.

[**4**] J. W. S. Cassels, *An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation, Cambridge Univ. Press, London/New York, 1957*.

[**5**] H. Davenport, *Indefinite binary quadratic forms*, Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser. (2) **1** (1950), 54–62.

[**6**] H. Davenport, *Indefinite binary quadratic forms, and Euclid's algorithm in real quadratic fields*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **53** (1951), 65–82.

[**7**] V. Ennola, *On the first inhomogeneous minimum of indefinite binary quadratic forms, and Euclid's algorithm in real quadratic fields*, Ann. Univ. Turkuensis Ser AI **28** (1958), 9–58.

[**8**] S. Fukasawa (latterly S. Morimoto), *uber die grossenordnung des absoluten betrages von einer linearen inhomogenen form I,II & IV*, Japan J. Math. **3** (1926), 1–26 and 91–106, **4** (1927), 147–167.

[**9**] J. H. Grace, *Note on a diophantine approximation*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **17** (1918), 316–319.

[**10**] A. Ya. Khinchin, *On the problem of Tchebyshev*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **10** (1946), 281–294.

[**11**] W. Moran, C. Pinner & A. Pollington, *On non-homogeneous Diophantine Approximation. to appear.*

[**12**] W. Moran, C. Pinner & A. Pollington, *On the Barnes–Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture. to appear.*

[**13**] O. Perron, *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York*, 1950.

[**14**] C. Pinner, *More on inhomogeneous diophantine approximation. to appear.*

[**15**] C. Pinner, *The inhomogeneous Lagrange spectrum of numbers with period two expansions. in preparation.*

[**16**] J. Pitman, *Davenport's constant for indefinite binary quadratic forms*, Acta Arith. **VI** (1960), 37–46.

[**17**] A. Rockett & P. Szusz, *Continued Fractions, World Scientific, Singapore*, 1992.

Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Northern British Columbia, 3333 University Way, Prince George, B.C., Canada V2N 4Z9. pinner@math.ksu.edu